

Mark Scheme (Results) Winter 2010

GCE

GCE Economics (6EC03/01)



General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

General marking observations for supported choice questions

Up to (2 marks) may be earned for knocking out incorrect keys, if the letter of the key to be eliminated is provided and a valid reason is given, with (1 mark) per key/keys with reason. For the explanation, (3 marks) can be earned even if key is incorrect, where the explanation provides sufficient evidence that the question has been understood.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
1	Definition of high concentration, e.g. reference to a few firms having a high market share (1 mark) Calculation of three/four/five etc firm concentration ratio CR 3 = 45.2% CR 4 = 55.2% CR 5 = 64.4% CR 6 = 73.0% CR 7 = 80.7% (1 mark) Market structure is oligopoly (1 mark) Other reference to data, e.g. strong brand names (1 mark)	(4)

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
2	B Definition of economies of scale - falling long run average cost as output increases or LRAC diagram (1 mark) Identification (1 mark) and application (1 mark) of one type of economy of scale to airlines when they join together Horizontal integration explanation (1 mark) E.g. bulk buying (type) of fuel (application) = 2 marks	(4)

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
3	B Definition of marginal cost e.g. cost of producing one more unit, or formula 'change in total cost divided by change in quantity' (1 mark) Understanding that total costs are constant (1 mark) and therefore the marginal i.e. change in total cost is zero (1 mark) Allow 'no variable costs' (1 mark) but not 'all costs are fixed'. Possible knock outs: It is not C or D because average costs are falling,	(4)
	it is not A because total costs are constant	

Question	Answer	Mark
Question Number 4	A Definition of normal profit or supernormal profit verbally or as formulae normal profit AC = AR or supernormal AC <ar (1="" (2="" and="" are="" as="" attract="" away="" barriers="" benefitting="" competed="" competitive="" costs="" dear-mr<="" diagram="" entry="" existence="" firm="" firms="" from="" has="" if="" illustrate="" in="" industry="" into="" just="" knowledge="" learer="" long="" low="" mark)="" marks)="" means="" new="" no="" of="" or="" p="" perfect="" perfectly="" profits="" revolution="" run="" shows="" such="" sunk="" td="" that="" the="" therefore="" these="" to="" understanding="" up="" which=""><td>Mark (4)</td></ar>	Mark (4)
	Q2 Q1 Q1 Q1 Q2 Industry	

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
5	E	(4)
	Definition of profit maximisation MC = MR (1 mark)	
	The use of diagram showing the fall in price, fall in output, constant AC and fall in AR and MR can be rewarded with full marks (3 marks) cap diagram 2 out of 3 marks if AC or MC are shown to change.	
	of which shift left/down of AR and MR (1 mark), original and new equilibrium/price (1 mark), new profit area (1 mark).	
	A written analysis should include explanation of falling prices (1 mark), output (1 mark) and profits (1 mark), more than just repeating key. Up to 3 marks	

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
6	A Price inelastic demand means that higher revenue for firms is gained when prices are higher (1 mark) Game theory: Consumers have no choice but to pay the high prices because of market power (2 mark) Possible collusion identification and application (2 marks) Understanding that they tend not to trust each other and therefore they will most likely end up at cell D i.e. both low prices as a result of a price war (2 marks) Kinked demand approach:	(4)
	Elastic demand if prices rise (1 mark), but inelastic demand if prices fall (1 mark). Diagram showing a kink in AR or demand (1 mark) Interdependence (1 mark)	
	Interdependence (1 mark)	

Question Number	Answer	Mark	
7	C Definition of monopolistic competition or at least one characteristic e.g. firms have differentiated products and therefore the demand is not perfectly elastic has low barriers to entry, many firms (1 mark) Explanation of lack of productive efficiency (1 mark) Explanation of lack of allocative efficiency (1 mark) Supernormal profits will be competed away in long run OR only normal profits in the long run (1 mark) Diagram to illustrate long run position showing allocative and productive inefficiency can rewarded up to (2 marks)		(4)

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
8	D	(4)
	Role of a regulator (1 mark)	
	After a certain amount of profit is earned, the marginal tax rate is 100% (everything is taxed) (1 mark)	
	The company will be allowed to charge a price equal to the average cost of production (1 mark),	
	A 'fair' rate of return on capital is allowed for firms (1 mark) which removes all incentive to make efficiency gains or increase profits (1 mark). Contrast with other forms of regulation (1 mark).	

General marking observations for data questions

50% of the marks are to be awarded for evaluation, in all **except** the 4 mark questions. The Levels of Response are to be used as general guidance, but marks must be awarded if criteria on the mark scheme are identified.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
9(a)	Theory (2 marks): a monopoly (1 mark) - at least reference to one characteristic (1 mark) or identification of Competition Commission legal definition of 25 per cent of market share (1 mark) Application to information provided (2 marks): e.g. 'BAA's ownership of London's three largest airports' (Extract 1, line 6) (1 mark) Allow oligopoly up to 4 marks, if argued convincingly that there is a degree of competition in the London market. This must be supported by evidence, e.g. reference to Luton and City Airport as non-BAA owned in Figure 1.	(4)

Question	Indicative content	(14)
Number		
9(b)	Concept of increased competition (e.g. there will be more firms in the market) (1 mark)	
	Benefits of competition up to 6 marks for 2 or 3 benefits (3+3 or 2+2+2 or 3+2+1). These may include: Consumer benefits (passengers) • Lower prices for consumers, as costs fall • Better service - candidates could expand on this as a secondary point - for example • Fewer delays • Fewer bags lost • Better experience	
	Smaller queuesBetter transport links	
	Consumer benefits (airlines)	
	Greater choice of airports	
	 Greater productive or allocative efficiency - candidates could try to compare monopoly with perfect competition to illustrate the gains Higher profits 	
	Government benefits	
	More tax income	
	Improved trade	
	Answers must be focused in airports, but airlines can be viewed as customers.	
	Evaluation 7 marks - up to 3 marks + 4 marks for each evaluation point made, or 2 + 2 + 3 marks or 2 + 2 + 2 + 1 or similar, best four points only. Points might include	
	Allocative inefficiency as firms spend money trying to compete.	

	•	Loss of economies of scale causing LR average costs to increase Loss of integrated connections between airports Consumer confusion Discussion whether the airport market can sustain competition or whether it is essentially a natural monopoly. Even after the sale of airports an oligopoly will still exist which may exploit the consumer Reduced contestability Reduced profitability may deter new entrants or investment	
Lovel	Mork		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-3	Identification of one benefit (1) and explanation of this benefit (2)	
Level 2	4-7	Identification of three benefits (up to 3) and explanation of these benefits (up to 3) Brief evaluation (1)	
Level 3	8-14	Identification of three benefits (up to 3) and explanation of these benefits (up to 3). Evaluation (2 points x 3 marks or 3 points x 2 marks) - total for evaluation 7 marks	

Question Number	Answer	Mark
9(c)	 KAA 4 marks (2 x 2 marks or 1 x 4 marks) Factors might include: Role of Competition Commission (CC)(2 marks) Application to an industry, this may include airports or airlines (2 marks) Specific types of regulation or powers of the CC (2 marks) Enterprise Act 2002 e.g. the CC directs not just advises increased powers to fine 10% revenue for three years, jails term for directors, ability to force sale of assets (2 marks). Evaluation 4 marks (2 x 2 marks or 1 x 4 marks) Award counterarguments to the points above, either for or against regulation Indication of the problem that faces the authorities in trying to encourage competition e.g. regulatory capture, information asymmetry. This may include reference to the extract. Time for investigation is too long Cases need to be referred (selection or time issue) EC supersedes CC and other issues regarding international regulation Regulation meant to only be a short term solution until competition is possible Also reward the arguments offered in Extract 2 as to why regulation and planning would be better Government failure may reduce effectiveness of regulation Competition may cause problems outlined above - don't reward a repetition of the answer to 9b 	

Question	Indicati	ive content			
Number		(14	1)		
9(d)	Reason: Inc. Inc. Pri Hig. Inc. Pre Ana Alle ela Appl hig Consequinclude Pro Inv Los Eff De Evaluat 2+2+2- Points r Thi dis Arr Ion If p Lor reg Exc. Rei Ott	 Increased revenue/demand Price inelastic demand/monopoly power Higher costs Increased market share in markets where demand is more elastic Prevent other firms from entering the more contestable markets Analysis of price discrimination Allow up to 2 marks for a firm sub-markets diagram showing different elasticities prices, Application of data e.g. Heathrow with low PED and Gatwick with higher PED (could be on diagram) Consequences of policy (up to 4 marks 2 x 2 marks or 1 x 4 marks) might include: Profits/revenue/market share increase Involvement of the Competition Commission Lost consumer welfare as fare prices rise Effect on airlines - less profit, higher costs Deters new entrants Evaluation: (up to 7 marks for 2 points 4+3 or 3 points 3+2+2 or 2+2+2+1 or similar) Points might include: This is price differentiation/product differentiation NOT price discrimination Arrival of competition may mean that the policy cannot last in the long run If prices are too high this may have a wider impact on the demand for London as a tourist and business destination, which will force the regulator/competition commission to intervene Excess profits may attract undue attention from regulator Relative price of other airports Other costs might be more significant to airlines, e.g. fuel or cabin crew Other problems of price/product differentiation Other wider issues, e.g. externalities impact (the 'environment') Mark Descriptor Identification of price discrimination (1), identification of a cause and consequences of policy (2)			
Level	Mark	Descriptor			
Level 1	1-3	Definition of price discrimination (1), identification of a cause			
Level 2	4-7				
Level 3	8-14	Well applied and explained causes and consequences. Evaluation (2 points x 2+3 marks or 3 points x 2 + 2 +1 marks) - total for evaluation 7 marks	on		

Question	Answer	Mark
Number		
10(a)	Theory (2 marks): An oligopoly (1 mark) - at least reference to one characteristic (1 mark)	(4)
	Application to pharmaceutical industry (2 marks): identification of characteristics in evidence provided	

Question	Indicative content		
Number			
10(b)	KAA 6 marks Identification and explanation of up to 3 benefits to Merck (2+2+2 or 3+3 or 3+2+1)	(12)	
	These may include		
	 Economies of scale (accept 3 economies of scale as different factors) - marketing, financial, risk bearing, R &D Access to new markets e.g. Schering 70% of market outside US Access to new patents Protection from unwanted predators Higher market share, e.g. elimination of competition, widening scope 		
	Must be benefits to Merck, not other stakeholders		
	Evaluation: Award 6 marks (2+2+2 or 3+3 or 3+2+1)		
	 Factors may include Diseconomies of scale Likely costs of the merger e.g. dilution of shareholder value Possible investigation by competition agencies such as the Federal Trade Commission Possibility that the merger might not succeed Possibility that the firms will not be able to adequately integrate 		
11	Time lags in realising the benefits. Market Descriptors		
Level	Mark Descriptor		
Level 1 Level 2	 1-3 Identification and weak explanation of up to 2 benefits 3 marks 4-6 Identification and explanation of up to 3 benefits 6 marks Brief evaluation (1) 		
Level 3	7-12 Identification and explanation of up to 3 benefits 6 marks Evaluation: Identification and explanation of up to 3 evaluation 6 marks		

Question Number	Indicative	e content	
Number 10(c)	Award as Identificate Strategie Printing Said Reference Builting Printing	arks or which (3 marks) for price strategy and (3 marks) for non-price. 3 marks for 1 point or 2 + 1 for two points ation of one price strategy (1) and application and/or analysis (2). Is may include: Tedatory pricing The maximisation The evenue maximisation The evenue maximisation The evenue of the evenue of the evenue maximisation The evenue of	
	Identification of one non-price strategy (1) and application and/or analysis (2). Strategies may include: • Further increases in efficiency • Investment into new technology to increase productivity. • Improve product quality • Marketing campaign to win business customers. • Advertising • Promotion through other means, bundling products (which may be identical) • Move production abroad • External growth		
	Award maximum 4/6 if no reference to the pharmaceutical industry. Evaluation (3+3 or 2+2+2 or 3+2+1) A range of ideas could be developed, for example: It may be harder to make further increases in efficiency; all firms trying to engage in R&D Depends on PEDs There may be retaliation, e.g. price war Short term/long term success Investment /R&D is expensive and there is no guarantee of success. Improving product quality is expensive Marketing is expensive and no guarantee of success Predatory pricing is illegal so there might be fines Benefits or costs to other stakeholders.		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-3	Identification of one non-price or price strategy (1) and analysis (2).	
Level 2	4-7	Identification of one non-price and price strategy (2) and analysis (4). Brief evaluation (1)	
Level 3	8-12	Identification of one non-price and price strategy (2) and analysis (4). Extensive evaluation (Up to 6)	

Question Number		Indicative content		
10(d)				
		 Employees Increased job security as profits rise Higher wages/perks Share options increase in value More scope for promotion in larger firms Allow non worsening situation as being in the interests of employees Evaluation 6 marks (3 + 3 marks or 2 + 2 + 2 marks) Factors may include: Greater possibility of collusion to raise prices Less incentive to innovate and produce better drugs Increased chance of x-inefficiency and exploitation or price discrimination Prices rise if there are diseconomies of scale Worker redundancies Morale issues Less choice because there are fewer firms 		
Level	Mark	Descriptor		
Level 1	1-3	Identification of advantages to consumers (Up to 3 marks)		
Level 2	4-6	Some understanding of public interest - benefit to consumers. 1 mark Identification and explanation of 2 advantages to consumers (Up to 4 marks) Limited evaluation (Up to 2)		
Level 3	7-12	Identification and explanation of 2 advantages to consumers (Up to 4 marks) Extensive evaluation (Up to 6)		

	Knowledge	Application	Analysis	Evaluation	Total
1	1	2	1	0	4
2	2	1	1	0	4
3	1	1	2	0	4
4	1	1	2	0	4
5	2	1	2	0	4
6	2	1	1	0	4
7	2	1	1	0	4
8	1	2	1	0	4
9a	1	2	1	0	4
9b	2	2	3	7	14
9c	2	2	0	4	8
9d	2	2	3	7	14
10a	1	2	1	0	4
10b	2	2	2	6	12
10c	2	2	2	6	12
10d	2	2	2	6	12
Total	18	18	18	18	
Total (%)	25	25	25	25	